arXiv:1702.03078v2 [math-ph] 3 Apr 2017

DPSU-17-1

New Determinant Expressions of the Multi-indexed Orthogonal Polynomials in Discrete Quantum Mechanics

Satoru Odake

Faculty of Science, Shinshu University,

Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan

Abstract

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials (the Meixner, little q-Jacobi (Laguerre), (q-)Racah, Wilson, Askey-Wilson types) satisfying second order difference equations were constructed in discrete quantum mechanics. They are polynomials in the sinusoidal coordinates $\eta(x)$ (x is the coordinate of quantum system) and expressed in terms of the Casorati determinants whose matrix elements are functions of x at various points. By using shape invariance properties, we derive various equivalent determinant expressions, especially those whose matrix elements are functions of the same point x. Except for the (q-)Racah case, they can be expressed in terms of η only, without explicit x-dependence.

1 Introduction

The exceptional and multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials $\{P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)|n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ [1]–[14] are new type of orthogonal polynomials which form a complete set of orthogonal basis in an appropriate Hilbert space in spite of missing degrees. Instead of the three term recurrence relations which characterize the ordinary orthogonal polynomials [15, 16, 17], they satisfy the recurrence relations with more terms [18]–[26], and the constraints by Bochner's theorem and its generalizations [27, 28, 15, 16, 17] are avoided. They satisfy second order differential or difference equations and quantum mechanical formulation has played an important role in constructing these new orthogonal polynomials. The quantum mechanical systems described by the classical orthogonal polynomials can be iso-spectrally (or almost iso-spectrally) deformed by applying the multi-step Darboux transformations [29]–[35] and the main part of

the eigenfunctions of the deformed systems are multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials. We distinguish the following two cases; the set of missing degrees $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \backslash \{\deg P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is case (1): $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$, or case (2): $\mathcal{I} \neq \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$, where ℓ is a positive integer. The situation of case (1) is called stable in [6]. Case (1) is obtained by taking virtual states as the seed solutions of the Darboux transformation [8, 10, 12, 14] and case (2) is obtained by employing eigenstates or pseudo virtual states [36]–[39]. In the quantum mechanical formulation, the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials appear as polynomials in the sinusoidal coordinate $\eta(x)$ [40, 41], $P_{\mathcal{D},n}\big(\eta(x)\big)$, where x is the coordinate of the quantum system.

The eigenstates of the deformed system are expressed in terms of determinants: the Wronskians for the ordinary quantum mechanics (in which the Schrödinger equation is second order differential equation) and the Casoratians for the discrete quantum mechanics (in which the Schrödinger equations are second order difference equations). In our previous paper [42], we have presented simplified determinant expressions of the case (1) multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials in the ordinary quantum mechanics. We rewrite the Wronskians by using the identities of the original Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and two simplified expressions A and B are presented. The identities used in case A are essentially forward shift relations. For case B, the Schrödinger equation is used and it is regarded as a combination of the forward and backward shift relations, which are the consequences of the shape invariance. Thus both A and B expressions are derived by using the properties of the Wronskians and the shape invariance (see § 4 for details). This idea is also applicable to the discrete quantum mechanics. In this paper we consider the case (1) multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials appearing in the discrete quantum mechanics: the Meixner, little q-Laguerre, little q-Jacobi, Racah, q-Racah, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types. The first five appear in the discrete quantum mechanics with real shifts (rdQM) and the last two belong to the discrete quantum mechanics with pure imaginary shifts (idQM). We rewrite the Casoratians by using their properties and the identities of the original polynomials, which are the consequences of the shape invariance. Various new determinant expressions of the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials are obtained. Corresponding to the cases A and B in [42], we present two typical expressions explicitly. The matrix elements of the Casoratians are functions of x at various points, $x + j - 1$ for rdQM and $x + i(\frac{n+1}{2} - j)\gamma$ for idQM. In some of new determinant expressions, the matrix elements are functions of the same point x. Therefore the multi-

indexed orthogonal polynomials are expressed in terms of η only, namely without explicit x-dependence. For the Racah and q-Racah cases, the corresponding matrix elements for the multi-indexed polynomials do have explicit x-dependence because of the parameter ($\boldsymbol{\lambda}$) dependence of the sinusoidal coordinates, $\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. These new equivalent expressions show explicitly the constituents of the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials and they are helpful for deeper understanding.

This paper is organized as follows. We derive the new equivalent expressions for the multi-indexed Meixner, little q-Laguerre, little q-Jacobi, Racah and q-Racah polynomials in section 2. Those for the multi-indexed Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials are presented in section 3. The discussions in these two sections go parallelly. In § 2.1 (§ 3.1) the discrete quantum mechanics with real (pure imaginary) shifts is recapitulated and the data for the original systems are presented. The virtual state vectors (wavefunctions) and related functions are introduced in § 2.2 (§ 3.2). The original systems have shape invariance which entails the well-known forward and backward shift relations of the eigenpolynomials P_n. The forward and backward shift relations for virtual state polynomials $\xi_{\rm v}$ are presented in § 2.3 (§ 3.3). In § 2.4 (§ 3.4) the definitions of the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials given in [12, 14] ([10]) are recapitulated. They are expressed in terms of Casoratians. Sections 2.5 and 3.5 are the main contents of this paper. The Casoratians are rewritten by using their properties and the identities stemming from the shape invariance, and new determinant expressions of the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials are obtained. We present two typical examples. Section 4 is for a summary and comments.

2 Multi-indexed Orthogonal Polynomials in rdQM

In this section we derive various equivalent determinant expressions for the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials in the framework of the discrete quantum mechanics with real shifts. They are the multi-indexed Meixner, little q-Laguerre, little q-Jacobi, Racah and q-Racah polynomials.

2.1 Original systems

The Hamiltonian of the discrete quantum mechanics with real shifts (rdQM) [43, 44] $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_{x,y})$ is a tri-diagonal real symmetric (Jacobi) matrix and its rows and columns are indexed

by integers x and y, which take values in $\{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ (finite) or $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (semi-infinite),

$$\mathcal{H}_{x,y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\sqrt{B(x)D(x+1)}\,\delta_{x+1,y} - \sqrt{B(x-1)D(x)}\,\delta_{x-1,y} + \big(B(x)+D(x)\big)\delta_{x,y}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the potential functions $B(x)$ and $D(x)$ are real and positive but vanish at the boundary, $D(0) = 0$ and $B(N) = 0$ for finite cases. This Hamiltonian can be expressed in a factorized form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}^\dagger\mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_{x,y}), \quad \mathcal{A}^\dagger = ((\mathcal{A}^\dagger)_{x,y}) = (\mathcal{A}_{y,x}), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{x,y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{B(x)}\,\delta_{x,y} - \sqrt{D(x+1)}\,\delta_{x+1,y}, \quad (\mathcal{A}^\dagger)_{x,y} = \sqrt{B(x)}\,\delta_{x,y} - \sqrt{D(x)}\,\delta_{x-1,y}. \tag{2.3}$$

For simplicity in notation, we write $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= -\sqrt{B(x)D(x+1)}\,e^{\partial} - \sqrt{B(x-1)D(x)}\,e^{-\partial} + B(x) + D(x) \\ &= -\sqrt{B(x)}\,e^{\partial}\sqrt{D(x)} - \sqrt{D(x)}\,e^{-\partial}\sqrt{B(x)} + B(x) + D(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \sqrt{B(x)} - e^{\partial}\sqrt{D(x)}, \quad \mathcal{A}^\dagger = \sqrt{B(x)} - \sqrt{D(x)}\,e^{-\partial}, \tag{2.5}$$

where matrices $e^{\pm\partial}$ are

$$e^{\pm\partial} = ((e^{\pm\partial})_{x,y}), \quad (e^{\pm\partial})_{x,y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_{x\pm1,y}, \quad (e^{\partial})^\dagger = e^{-\partial}, \tag{2.6}$$

and we suppress the unit matrix $\mathbf{1} = (\delta_{x,y})$: $\big(B(x)+D(x)\big)\mathbf{1}$ in (2.4), $\sqrt{B(x)}\,\mathbf{1}$ in (2.5). (The notation $f(x)Ag(x)$, where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are functions of x and A is a matrix $A = (A_{x,y})$, stands for a matrix whose (x, y)-element is $f(x)A_{x,y}g(y)$.) Note that the matrices e^{∂} and $e^{-\partial}$ are not inverse to each other: $e^{\pm\partial}e^{\mp\partial} \neq \mathbf{1}$ for finite systems and $e^{-\partial}e^{\partial} \neq \mathbf{1}$ for semi-infinite systems. The Schrödinger equation is the eigenvalue problem for the hermitian matrix $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{H}\phi_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n\phi_n(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \quad 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 < \mathcal{E}_1 < \mathcal{E}_2 < \cdots, \tag{2.7}$$

($n = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ for a finite case).

We consider rdQM described by the Meixner (M), little q-Laguerre (lqL), little q-Jacobi (lqJ), Racah (R) and q-Racah (qR) polynomials. The first three are semi-infinite systems and the last two are finite systems. Eigenvectors have the following factorized form

$$\phi_n(x) = \phi_0(x)\check{P}_n(x), \quad \check{P}_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_n\big(\eta(x)\big). \tag{2.8}$$

Here the ground state eigenvector $\phi_0(x)$, which is characterized by $\mathcal{A}\phi_0(x) = 0$, is chosen as

$$\phi_0(x) = \prod_{y=0}^{x-1}\sqrt{\frac{B(y)}{D(y+1)}} > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

We use the convention: $\prod_{k=n}^{n-1} * = 1$, which means the normalization $\phi_0(0) = 1$. The other function $P_n(\eta)$ is a degree n polynomial in η, and $\eta(x)$ is the sinusoidal coordinate satisfying the boundary condition $\eta(0) = 0$. We adopt the universal normalization condition [43, 44] as

$$P_n(0) = 1 \ \big(\Leftrightarrow \check{P}_n(0) = 1\big). \tag{2.10}$$

Various quantities depend on a set of parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ and their dependence is expressed like, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $B(x) = B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\phi_n(x) = \phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\check{P}_n(x) = \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = P_n\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda});\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)$, etc. The parameter q is $0 < q < 1$ and $q^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ stands for $q^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots)} = (q^{\lambda_1}, q^{\lambda_2}, \ldots)$. The symbols $(a)_n$ and $(a;q)_n$ are (q-)shifted factorials [45] and $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x.

Parameters of the systems are

$$\mathrm{M}: \ \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\beta, c), \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, 0), \quad \kappa = 1, \quad \beta > 0, \quad 0 < c < 1, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L}: \ q^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = a, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} = 1, \quad \kappa = q^{-1}, \quad 0 < a < q^{-1}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J}: \ q^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (a, b), \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, 1), \quad \kappa = q^{-1}, \quad 0 < a < q^{-1}, \quad b < q^{-1}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\mathrm{R}: \ \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (a, b, c, d), \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, 1, 1, 1), \quad \kappa = 1, \tag{2.14}$$

$$q\mathrm{R}: \ q^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (a, b, c, d), \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} = (1, 1, 1, 1), \quad \kappa = q^{-1}, \tag{2.15}$$

and we adopt the following choice of the parameter ranges for R and qR:

$$\mathrm{R}: \ a = -N, \quad 0 < d < a + b, \quad 0 < c < 1 + d, \tag{2.16}$$

$$q\mathrm{R}: \ a = q^{-N}, \quad 0 < ab < d < 1, \quad qd < c < 1. \tag{2.17}$$

We list the fundamental data [43].

◦ **Meixner:**

We rescale the overall normalization of the Hamiltonian in [43]: ($\mathcal{H}$ in [43]) $\times (1 - c) \to \mathcal{H}$.

$$B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = c(x + \beta), \quad D(x) = x, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (1 - c)n, \quad \eta(x) = x, \quad \varphi(x) = 1, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = {}_2F_1\Big(\begin{matrix}-n, -x \\ \beta\end{matrix} \,\Big|\, 1 - c^{-1}\Big) = M_n(x;\beta,c), \tag{2.20}$$

$$\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sqrt{\frac{(\beta)_x \, c^x}{x!}}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $M_n(x;\beta,c)$ is the Meixner polynomial [45].

∘ **little q-Laguerre and little q-Jacobi:**

$$B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} aq^{-x} & : \mathrm{lqL} \\ a(q^{-x}-bq) & : \mathrm{lqJ} \end{cases}, \quad D(x) = q^{-x}-1, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q^{-n}-1 & : \mathrm{lqL} \\ (q^{-n}-1)(1-abq^{n+1}) & : \mathrm{lqJ} \end{cases}, \quad \eta(x) = 1-q^x, \quad \varphi(x) = q^x, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \begin{cases} {}_2\phi_0\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\, q^{-x} \\ - \end{matrix} \,\Big|\, q;\frac{q^x}{a}\Big) = (a^{-1}q^{-n};q)_n\, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\, 0 \\ aq \end{matrix} \,\Big|\, q;q^{x+1}\Big) \\ {}_3\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\, abq^{n+1},\, q^{-x} \\ bq \end{matrix} \,\Big|\, q\,;\frac{q^x}{a}\Big) = \dfrac{(a^{-1}q^{-n};q)_n}{(bq;q)_n}\, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\, abq^{n+1} \\ aq \end{matrix} \,\Big|\, q\,;q^{x+1}\Big) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (a^{-1}q^{-n};q)_n\, p_n\big(1-\eta(x);a|q\big) & : \mathrm{lqL} \\ (bq;q)_n^{-1}(a^{-1}q^{-n};q)_n\, p_n\big(1-\eta(x);a,b|q\big) & : \mathrm{lqJ} \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

$$\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(q;q)_x^{-1}(aq)^x} & : \mathrm{lqL} \\ \sqrt{(bq;q)_x(q;q)_x^{-1}(aq)^x} & : \mathrm{lqJ} \end{cases}, \tag{2.25}$$

where $p_n(y;a|q)$ and $p_n(y;a,b|q)$ are the little q-Laguerre and little q-Jacobi polynomials in the conventional definition [45], respectively.

∘ **Racah and q-Racah:**

$$B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{(x+a)(x+b)(x+c)(x+d)}{(2x+d)(2x+1+d)} & : \mathrm{R} \\ -\dfrac{(1-aq^x)(1-bq^x)(1-cq^x)(1-dq^x)}{(1-dq^{2x})(1-dq^{2x+1})} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \tag{2.26}$$

$$D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{(x+d-a)(x+d-b)(x+d-c)x}{(2x-1+d)(2x+d)} & : \mathrm{R} \\ -\tilde{d}\,\dfrac{(1-a^{-1}dq^x)(1-b^{-1}dq^x)(1-c^{-1}dq^x)(1-q^x)}{(1-dq^{2x-1})(1-dq^{2x})} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} n(n+\tilde{d}) & : \mathrm{R} \\ (q^{-n}-1)(1-\tilde{d}q^n) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \quad \eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} x(x+d) & : \mathrm{R} \\ (q^{-x}-1)(1-dq^x) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2x+d+1}{d+1} & : \mathrm{R} \\ \dfrac{q^{-x}-dq^{x+1}}{1-dq} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \quad \tilde{d} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} a+b+c-d-1 & : \mathrm{R} \\ abcd^{-1}q^{-1} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = P_n\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda});\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big) &= \begin{cases} {}_4F_3\Big(\begin{matrix} -n,\, n+\tilde{d},\, -x,\, x+d \\ a,\, b,\, c \end{matrix} \,\Big|\, 1\Big) \\ {}_4\phi_3\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\, \tilde{d}q^n,\, q^{-x},\, dq^x \\ a,\, b,\, c \end{matrix} \,\Big|\, q\,;q\Big) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} R_n\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda});a-1,\tilde{d}-a,c-1,d-c\big) & : \mathrm{R} \\ R_n\big(1+d+\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda});aq^{-1},\tilde{d}a^{-1},cq^{-1},dc^{-1}|q\big) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

$$\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\dfrac{(a,b,c,d)_x}{(d-a+1,d-b+1,d-c+1,1)_x}\,\dfrac{2x+d}{d}} & :\mathrm{R} \\ \sqrt{\dfrac{(a,b,c,d\,;q)_x}{(a^{-1}dq,b^{-1}dq,c^{-1}dq,q\,;q)_x\,\tilde{d}^x}\,\dfrac{1-dq^{2x}}{1-d}} & :q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \tag{2.31}$$

where $R_n\big(x(x+\gamma+\delta+1);\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta\big)$ and $R_n(q^{-x}+\gamma\delta q^{x+1};\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta|q)$ are the Racah and q-Racah polynomials [45], respectively. It should be emphasized that for these two polynomials, the sinusoidal coordinates $\eta(x)$ (2.28) and the auxiliary function $\varphi(x)$ (2.29) depend on the parameters $\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in distinction with the other polynomials in this section.

Note that the following relations are valid for all the systems discussed in this section,

$$\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{\eta(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\eta(1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sqrt{\frac{B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}}\,\frac{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \quad \varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sqrt{\frac{B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{D(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}}\,\frac{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{\phi_0(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \tag{2.33}$$

$$\frac{B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{B(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = \kappa^{-1}\frac{\varphi(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \quad \frac{D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = \kappa^{-1}\frac{\varphi(x-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}. \tag{2.34}$$

2.2 Virtual states

The virtual state vectors are obtained by using the discrete symmetries of the Hamiltonian [12, 14]. We define the twist operation $\mathfrak{t}$,

$$\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} (\lambda_1,\lambda_2^{-1}) & :\mathrm{M} \\ -\lambda_1 & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L} \\ (-\lambda_1,\lambda_2) & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J} \\ (\lambda_4-\lambda_1+1,\lambda_4-\lambda_2+1,\lambda_3,\lambda_4) & :\mathrm{R},q\mathrm{R} \end{cases},$$

$$\text{namely} \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\beta,c^{-1}) & :\mathrm{M} \\ q^{\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = a^{-1} & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L} \\ q^{\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = (a^{-1},b) & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J} \\ \mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (d-a+1,d-b+1,c,d) & :\mathrm{R} \\ q^{\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = (a^{-1}dq,b^{-1}dq,c,d) & :q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \tag{2.35}$$

which is an involution $\mathfrak{t}^2=\mathrm{id}$ and satisfies

$$\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})+u\boldsymbol{\delta} = \mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+u\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \ \ (\forall u\in\mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} (1,0) & :\mathrm{M} \\ -1 & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L} \\ (-1,1) & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J} \\ (0,0,1,1) & :\mathrm{R},q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}. \tag{2.36}$$

Note that this twist operation does not affect $\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$,

$$\eta\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big) = \eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \varphi\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big) = \varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{2.37}$$

We define two functions $B'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $D'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$,

$$B'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big), \quad D'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big). \tag{2.38}$$

By using the twist operation, the virtual state vectors $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are introduced:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{\mathrm{v}}\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big) = \tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\\
&\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \xi_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda});\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{P}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big) = P_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda});\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

The virtual state polynomials $\xi_{\mathrm{v}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are polynomials of degree v in η. The virtual state vectors satisfy the Schrödinger equation $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (except for the upper boundary $x = N$ for finite cases) with the virtual energies $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -(1-c)(\mathrm{v}+\beta) & : \mathrm{M} \\ -(1-aq^{-\mathrm{v}}) & : \mathrm{lq L} \\ -(1-aq^{-\mathrm{v}})(1-bq^{\mathrm{v}+1}) & : \mathrm{lq J} \\ -(c+\mathrm{v})(a+b-d-\mathrm{v}-1) & : \mathrm{R} \\ -(1-cq^{\mathrm{v}})(1-abd^{-1}q^{-\mathrm{v}-1}) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}. \tag{2.40}$$

Other data $\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\alpha'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (see [12, 14] for details) are

$$\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} c & : \mathrm{M} \\ a & : \mathrm{lq L, lq J} \\ 1 & : \mathrm{R} \\ abd^{-1}q^{-1} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \quad \alpha'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -(1-c)\beta & : \mathrm{M} \\ -(1-a) & : \mathrm{lq L} \\ -(1-a)(1-bq) & : \mathrm{lq J} \\ -c(a+b-d-1) & : \mathrm{R} \\ -(1-c)(1-abd^{-1}q^{-1}) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}. \tag{2.41}$$

In order to obtain well-defined quantum systems, we have to restrict the degree v and parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ so that the conditions, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < 0$, $\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) > 0$, $\alpha'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < 0$, no zeros of $\xi_{\mathrm{v}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in the domain etc., should be satisfied, see [12, 14]. In this paper, however, we consider algebraic properties only and we do not bother about the ranges of v and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

The function $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is defined as the ratio of ϕ_0 and $\tilde{\phi}_0$,

$$\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}. \tag{2.42}$$

Since the potential functions $B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are rational functions of x or q^x, this function $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ can be analytically continued into a meromorphic function of x or q^x through the functional relations:

$$\nu(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \nu(x-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})D'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{2.43}$$

Explicitly it is

$$\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} c^x & : \mathrm{M} \\ a^x & : \mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J} \\ \dfrac{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(x+b)\Gamma(d-a+1)\Gamma(b-d-x)}{\Gamma(1-a-x)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(x+d-a+1)\Gamma(b-d)} & : \mathrm{R} \\ \dfrac{(a^{-1}q^{1-x}, b, a^{-1}dq^{x+1}, bd^{-1}; q)_\infty}{(a^{-1}q, bq^x, a^{-1}dq, bd^{-1}q^{-x}; q)_\infty} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}. \tag{2.44}$$

For non-negative integer x, it reduces to

$$\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(1-a-x,b)_x}{(d-a+1,b-d-x)_x} \ : \mathrm{R}, \quad \nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(a^{-1}q^{1-x},b;q)_x}{(a^{-1}dq, bd^{-1}q^{-x};q)_x} \ : q\mathrm{R}. \tag{2.45}$$

The functions $r_j(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M)$ are defined as the ratio of ν's,

$$r_j(x+j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\nu(x+j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\nu\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big)} \ \ (1 \le j \le M+1), \tag{2.46}$$

whose explicit forms are

$$r_j(x+j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M) = \begin{cases} c^{j-1} & : \mathrm{M} \\ a^{j-1}q^{Mx} & : \mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J} \\ \dfrac{(x+a,x+b)_{j-1}(x+d-a+j,x+d-b+j)_{M+1-j}}{(d-a+1,d-b+1)_M} & : \mathrm{R} \\ \dfrac{(aq^x,bq^x;q)_{j-1}(a^{-1}dq^{x+j},b^{-1}dq^{x+j};q)_{M+1-j}}{(abd^{-1}q^{-1})^{j-1}q^{Mx}(a^{-1}dq,b^{-1}dq;q)_M} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}. \tag{2.47}$$

The auxiliary function $\varphi_M(x)$ [35] is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_M(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{1\le j<k\le M} \frac{\eta(x+k-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\eta(x+j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\eta(k-j;\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &= \prod_{1\le j<k\le M} \varphi\big(x+j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-j-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

and $\varphi_0(x) = \varphi_1(x) = 1$. For M, l$q$L and l$q$J, its explicit form is $\varphi_M(x) = \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)x-\frac{1}{6}M(M-1)(M-2)}$.

In the following we adopt the convention,

$$\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \ \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}), \quad \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \ \ (\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}). \tag{2.49}$$

2.3 Shape invariance

The original systems in § 2.1 are shape invariant [43] and they satisfy the relation,

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger = \kappa\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})^\dagger\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) + \mathcal{E}_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{2.50}$$

which is a sufficient condition for exact solvability. As a consequence of the shape invariance, the action of the operators $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger$ on the eigenvectors is

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\sqrt{B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}}\,\phi_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger\phi_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \sqrt{B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\,\phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{2.51}$$

These relations (2.51) are equivalent to the forward and backward shift relations of the orthogonal polynomials P_n [45],

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{2.52}$$

where the forward and backward shift operators $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\,\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})^{-1}\circ\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\circ\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}(1-e^{\partial}), \tag{2.53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}}\,\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\circ\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger\circ\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})\\ &= B(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\big(B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})e^{-\partial}\big)\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

(For a finite system, the first equation in (2.52) holds for $x \le N-1$ as a matrix and vector equation.) The similarity transformed Hamiltonian $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ acting on the polynomial eigenvectors is square root free. It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\circ\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\circ\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\ &= B(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})(1-e^{\partial}) + D(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})(1-e^{-\partial}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{2.56}$$

Note that the relations (2.52) and (2.56) (after writing down in components) are valid for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, because they are 'polynomial' equations.

The action of $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ on $(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\rm v})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\rm v}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\rm v})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\rm v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\rm v})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \;\; \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\rm v})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = (\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\rm v})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{2.57}$$

(For a finite system, the first equation holds for $x \le N-1$ as a matrix and vector equation.) Explicitly they are equivalent to the following forward and backward shift relations of the virtual state polynomial $\check{\xi}_{\rm v}$:

$$A\check{\xi}_{\rm v}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - B\check{\xi}_{\rm v}(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = C\check{\xi}_{\rm v}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \tag{2.58}$$

$$A = \begin{cases} 1 & : \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{lq L}, \mathrm{lq J} \\ (x+a)(x+b) & : \mathrm{R} \\ (1-aq^x)(1-bq^x) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \quad B = \begin{cases} c^{-1} & : \mathrm{M} \\ a^{-1} & : \mathrm{lq L}, \mathrm{lq J} \\ (x+d-a+1)(x+d-b+1) & : \mathrm{R} \\ \frac{ab}{dq}(1-a^{-1}dq^{x+1})(1-b^{-1}dq^{x+1}) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases},$$

$$C = \begin{cases} -(c\beta)^{-1}(1-c)(\mathrm{v}+\beta) & : \mathrm{M} \\ -a^{-1}(1-aq^{-\mathrm{v}}) & : \mathrm{lq L} \\ -a^{-1}(1-bq)^{-1}(1-aq^{-\mathrm{v}})(1-bq^{\mathrm{v}+1}) & : \mathrm{lq J} \\ c^{-1}(c+\mathrm{v})(a+b-d-1-\mathrm{v})(2x+d+1) & : \mathrm{R} \\ (1-c)^{-1}(1-cq^{\mathrm{v}})(1-abd^{-1}q^{-\mathrm{v}-1})(1-dq^{2x+1}) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases},$$

and

$$A\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) - B\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = C\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{2.59}$$

$$A = \begin{cases} x+\beta & : \mathrm{M} \\ 1 & : \mathrm{lq L} \\ 1-bq^{x+1} & : \mathrm{lq J} \\ (x+c)(x+d) & : \mathrm{R} \\ (1-cq^x)(1-dq^x) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \quad B = \begin{cases} x & : \mathrm{M} \\ 1-q^x & : \mathrm{lq L}, \mathrm{lq J} \\ (x+d-c)x & : \mathrm{R} \\ c(1-c^{-1}dq^x)(1-q^x) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases},$$

$$C = \begin{cases} \beta & : \mathrm{M} \\ q^x & : \mathrm{lq L} \\ (1-bq)q^x & : \mathrm{lq J} \\ c(2x+d) & : \mathrm{R} \\ (1-c)(1-dq^{2x}) & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}.$$

Note that the relations (2.57) (after writing down in components) and (2.58)–(2.59) are valid for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, because $\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x)$ is a 'polynomial' and $\nu(x)$ is a meromorphic function.

2.4 Multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials

The original systems in § 2.1 are iso-spectrally deformed by applying multiple Darboux transformations with virtual state vectors $\{\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x)\}$ as seed solutions. The virtual state vectors are labeled by the degree v of the polynomial part ξ_{v}. We take M virtual state vectors specified by the multi-index set $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \ldots, d_M\}$ (ordered set). The deformed Hamiltonian is denoted as $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. The general formula for the eigenfunctions $\{\phi^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$ of the deformed system $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is [12, 14]

$$\phi^{\mathrm{gen}}_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{M}\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B'(x+j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\,\tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mathrm{W_C}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\check{\xi}_{d_M},\nu\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{W_C}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mathrm{W_C}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (-1)^M \prod_{1\le i<j\le M} \mathrm{sgn}\big(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big), \tag{2.60}$$

where $\mathrm{W_C}[f_1,\dots,f_n](x)$ is the Casoratian (2.69).

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials $\{\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$ are the main parts of the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$ of the deformed system $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ [12, 14]:

$$\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \propto \phi^{\text{gen}}_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=1, \tag{2.61}$$

$$\psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\,\frac{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})}{\sqrt{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x+1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}}, \quad \psi_{\mathcal{D}}(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=1, \tag{2.62}$$

$$\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{W_C}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\times\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\varphi_M(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{W}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M},\nu\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\times\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\varphi_{M+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})^{-1}, \tag{2.64}$$

$$\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{D},n}\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta});\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big), \quad \check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}\big(\eta(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\boldsymbol{\delta});\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big). \tag{2.65}$$

Here the universal normalization $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=1$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=1$ are adopted, which determines the constants $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (convention: $\prod_{1\le j<k\le M}*=1$ for $M=1$),

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\varphi_M(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\prod_{1\le j<k\le M}\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B'(j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \tag{2.66}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^M\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{d}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^2, \quad \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\varphi_M(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\varphi_{M+1}(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\prod_{j=1}^{M}\frac{\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B'(j-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}. \tag{2.67}$$

The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (2.65) are polynomials in η and their degrees are generically $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}+n$, respectively. Here $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ is $\ell_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_j - \frac{1}{2}M(M-1)$. Note that $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},0}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})$.

In [12], the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (2.64) for R and qR are expressed as

$$\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\varphi_{M+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1} \times \begin{vmatrix} \check{\xi}_{d_1}(x_1) & \cdots & \check{\xi}_{d_M}(x_1) & r_1(x_1)\check{P}_n(x_1) \\ \check{\xi}_{d_1}(x_2) & \cdots & \check{\xi}_{d_M}(x_2) & r_2(x_2)\check{P}_n(x_2) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \check{\xi}_{d_1}(x_{M+1}) & \cdots & \check{\xi}_{d_M}(x_{M+1}) & r_{M+1}(x_{M+1})\check{P}_n(x_{M+1}) \end{vmatrix}, \tag{2.68}$$

where $x_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x+j-1$ and $r_j(x)=r_j(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M)$ $(1\le j\le M+1)$ are given by (2.46). This expression is also valid for M, lqL and lqJ.

In the next subsection we will rewrite (2.63)–(2.64) by using the identities implied by the shape invariance and the properties of the Casoratians.

2.5 New determinant expressions

2.5.1 Casoratian

The Casorati determinant of a set of n functions $\{f_j(x)\}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{W_C}[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n](x) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \det\Big(f_k(x+j-1)\Big)_{1\le j,k\le n}, \tag{2.69}$$

(for $n = 0$, we set $\mathrm{W_C}[\cdot](x) = 1$), which satisfies

$$\mathrm{W_C}[gf_1, gf_2, \ldots, gf_n](x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(x+k) \cdot \mathrm{W_C}[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n](x). \tag{2.70}$$

In the rest of this section we consider the Casoratians for $\check{P}_n(x)$, $\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x)$ and $\nu(x)$. Since they are polynomials or meromorphic functions, we can realize the shift operator as an exponential of the differential operator, $e^{a\frac{d}{dx}} f(x) = f(x+a)$ $(a \in \mathbb{R})$. Contrary to the matrices e^{∂} and $e^{-\partial}$, operators $e^{\frac{d}{dx}}$ and $e^{-\frac{d}{dx}}$ are inverse to each other.

The following determinant formula holds for any smooth functions $q_j(x)$ and $r_j(x)$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$:

$$\det\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{j-1}} \hat{D}_l \cdot f_k(x)\Big)_{1\le j,k\le n} = \prod_{l=1}^{n} \prod_{m=1}^{n-l} r_m(x+l-1) \cdot \mathrm{W_C}[f_1, \ldots, f_n](x), \tag{2.71}$$

where operators $\hat{D}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$ are

$$\hat{D}_j = q_j(x) + r_j(x) e^{\frac{d}{dx}}, \tag{2.72}$$

and the ordered product is

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{n}} a_j \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} a_n \cdots a_2 a_1. \tag{2.73}$$

This formula is shown by using the properties of the determinants (row properties) and induction in n.

We define operators $\hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ as $\mathcal{F}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)$ and $\mathcal{B}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)$ with the replacement $e^{\pm\partial} \mapsto e^{\pm\frac{d}{dx}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}(1 - e^{\frac{d}{dx}}), \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\big(B'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - D'(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})e^{-\frac{d}{dx}}\big)\varphi(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.74}$$

Then the relations (2.52) and (2.57) with the replacement $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mapsto \mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and exchange $n \leftrightarrow \mathrm{v}$ give

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}), \qquad \hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) = \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{2.75}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) = (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{2.76}$$

where $(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, and $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are

$$\mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big). \tag{2.77}$$

These relations (2.75)–(2.76) are valid for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

By taking $\hat{\mathcal{F}}'$ or $\hat{\mathcal{B}}'$ as $\hat{D}_j$ and using shape invariance properties (2.75)–(2.76), the Casoratians $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{C}}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{C}}[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\check{\xi}_{d_M},\nu\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in (2.63)–(2.64) can be rewritten in various ways. We consider two typical cases:

$$\mathrm{A}: \ \hat{D}_j = \hat{\mathcal{F}}'\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big) \ \ (j=1,2,\ldots), \tag{2.78}$$

$$\mathrm{B}: \ \hat{D}_{2l-1} = e^{(l-1)\frac{d}{dx}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ e^{-(l-1)\frac{d}{dx}}, \ \hat{D}_{2l} = e^{l\frac{d}{dx}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ e^{-(l-1)\frac{d}{dx}} \ \ (l=1,2,\ldots), \tag{2.79}$$

which correspond to the cases A and B in [42].

2.5.2 case A

Firstly we consider case A (2.78). The functions $q_j(x)$ and $r_j(x)$ are

$$-q_j(x) = r_j(x) = -B'\big(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big)\varphi\big(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{-1}. \tag{2.80}$$

The shape invariance properties (2.75)–(2.76) give $(k=0,1,\ldots)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{k}} \hat{D}_l \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-k}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+k\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}), \\
\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{k}} \hat{D}_l \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+k\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.81}$$

We rewrite (2.63)–(2.64) in the following way. (i) By using (2.71), rewrite the Casoratians in (2.63)–(2.64) as determinants $\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M'}$ ($M'=M$ for $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $M'=M+1$ for $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}$). (ii) Rewrite each matrix element $a_{j,k}$ by (2.81). For $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}$, we do the following (iii) and (iv). (iii) Divide the $(M+1)$-th column of $\det(a_{j,k})$ by $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})$, and multiply the determinant

by $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})$. (iv) Rewrite $\nu\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big)/\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})$ in the $(M+1)$-th column of $\det(a_{j,k})$ by

$$\frac{\nu\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big)}{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})}=\begin{cases}1 & :\mathrm{M}\\ q^{(M+1-j)x}=\big(1-\eta(x)\big)^{M+1-j} & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L},\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J}\\ \dfrac{(x+d-a+j,x+d-b+j)_{M+1-j}}{(d-a+j,d-b+j)_{M+1-j}} & :\mathrm{R}\\ q^{-(M+1-j)x}\dfrac{(a^{-1}dq^{x+j},b^{-1}dq^{x+j};q)_{M+1-j}}{(a^{-1}dq^{j},b^{-1}dq^{j};q)_{M+1-j}} & :q\mathrm{R}\end{cases}. \tag{2.82}$$

Then $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\varphi(x';\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and (2.82). Straightforward calculation shows that the factors $\varphi(x';\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ are canceled out. Thus, for M, lqL and lqJ cases, $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\eta(x)$, namely $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed without explicit x-dependence (which is trivial for M, because $\eta(x)=x$). Their final forms are as follows.

∘ **Meixner:** The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\frac{(-c)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\prod_{m=1}^{M-1}(\beta)_m}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}, \tag{2.83}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$a_{j,k}=\prod_{m=0}^{j-2}\mathcal{E}'_{d_k-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\xi_{d_k+1-j}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big)\quad(j,k=1,\dots,M), \tag{2.84}$$

and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\frac{(-c)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M+1)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\prod_{m=1}^{M}(\beta)_m}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}, \tag{2.85}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}a_{j,k}&=\text{eq. (2.84)}\quad(j=1,\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\ a_{j,M+1}&=\prod_{m=0}^{j-2}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot P_n\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big)\quad(j=1,\dots,M+1).\end{aligned} \tag{2.86}$$

∘ **little q-Laguerre and little q-Jacobi:**

The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\frac{(-a)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)}}{q^{\frac{1}{6}M(M-1)(M-2)}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}\times\begin{cases}1 & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{L}\\ \prod_{m=1}^{M-1}(bq;q)_m^{-1} & :\mathrm{l}q\mathrm{J}\end{cases}, \tag{2.87}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are given by (2.84), and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(-a)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M+1)}}{q^{\frac{1}{6}(M+1)M(M-1)}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1} \times \begin{cases} 1 & : \mathrm{lqL} \\ \prod_{m=1}^{M}(bq;q)_m^{-1} & : \mathrm{lqJ} \end{cases}, \quad (2.88)$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,k} &= \text{eq. (2.84)} \quad (j=1,\dots,M+1; k=1,\dots,M), \\ a_{j,M+1} &= \prod_{m=0}^{j-2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \cdot (1-\eta)^{M+1-j} P_n\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big) \quad (j=1,\dots,M+1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.89)$$

∘ Racah and q-Racah:

The denominator polynomial $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\prod_{m=0}^{M-1} B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})^{M-1-m}} \det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}, \quad (2.90)$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$a_{j,k} = \prod_{m=0}^{j-2} \mathcal{E}'_{d_k-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \cdot \check{\xi}_{d_k+1-j}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big) \quad (j,k=1,\dots,M), \quad (2.91)$$

and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M+1)}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\prod_{m=0}^{M} B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})^{M-m}} \det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}, \quad (2.92)$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,k} &= \text{eq. (2.91)} \quad (j=1,\dots,M+1; k=1,\dots,M), \\ a_{j,M+1} &= \prod_{m=0}^{j-2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \cdot P_n\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big) \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} \dfrac{(x+d-a+j, x+d-b+j)_{M+1-j}}{(d-a+j, d-b+j)_{M+1-j}} & : \mathrm{R} \\ q^{-(M+1-j)x}\dfrac{(a^{-1}dq^{x+j}, b^{-1}dq^{x+j};q)_{M+1-j}}{(a^{-1}dq^{j}, b^{-1}dq^{j};q)_{M+1-j}} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases} \quad (j=1,\dots,M+1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.93)$$

2.5.3 case B

Secondly we consider case B (2.79). The functions $q_j(x)$ and $r_j(x)$ are $(l=1,2,\dots)$

$$-q_{2l-1}(x) = r_{2l-1}(x) = -B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1},$$

$$q_{2l}(x) = -B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}D'(x+l;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$
$$r_{2l}(x) = B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}B'(x+l;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x+l;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{2.94}$$

The shape invariance properties (2.75)–(2.76) give ($l = 1, 2, \ldots$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-1}(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu\check{P}_n)(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l}(\nu\check{P}_n)(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.95}$$

Like case A, we rewrite (2.63)–(2.64) by the steps (i)–(iv). In (iv) we use

$$\frac{\nu(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})} = \begin{cases} c^{l-1} & : \mathrm{M}\\ a^{l-1}q^{Mx} & : \mathrm{lqL, lqJ}\\ \dfrac{(x+d-a+l, x+d-b+l)_{M+1-l}(x+a, x+b)_{l-1}}{(d-a+1, d-b+1)_M} & : \mathrm{R}\\ \dfrac{(a^{-1}dq^{x+l}, b^{-1}dq^{x+l}; q)_{M+1-l}(aq^x, bq^x; q)_{l-1}}{(abd^{-1}q^{-1})^{l-1}q^{Mx}(a^{-1}dq, b^{-1}dq; q)_M} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases},$$

$$\frac{\nu(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})}{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})} \tag{2.96}$$
$$= \begin{cases} c^{l-1} & : \mathrm{M}\\ (aq^{-1})^{l-1}q^{(M-1)x} & : \mathrm{lqL, lqJ}\\ \dfrac{(x+d-a+l+1, x+d-b+l+1)_{M-l}(x+a, x+b)_{l-1}}{(d-a+2, d-b+2)_{M-1}} & : \mathrm{R}\\ \dfrac{(a^{-1}dq^{x+l+1}, b^{-1}dq^{x+l+1}; q)_{M-l}(aq^x, bq^x; q)_{l-1}}{(abd^{-1}q^{-2})^{l-1}q^{(M-1)x}(a^{-1}dq^2, b^{-1}dq^2; q)_{M-1}} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}.$$

Contrary to case A, the determinants contain $\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x';\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and $\check{P}_n(x';\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ at various points x', and the factors $\varphi(x';\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ are not canceled out. Their final forms are as follows. The denominator polynomial $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+(-1)^M)\frac{M}{2}}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{[\frac{M}{2}]}} \prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-2}{2}]} \varphi(x+m;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\cdot\varphi_M(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-2}{2}]} \prod_{l=1}^{M-2-2m} B'(x+l+m;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right)^{-1} \det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}, \tag{2.97}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2l-1,k} &= \mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{d_k}(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \ \ (l=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+1}{2}]; k=1,\dots,M), \\ a_{2l,k} &= \mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l}\check{\xi}_{d_k-1}(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \ \ (l=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M}{2}]; k=1,\dots,M), \end{aligned} \tag{2.98}$$

and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^M)\frac{M+1}{2}}}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})B'(0;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]}} \prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-1}{2}]} \varphi(x+m;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\cdot\varphi_{M+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1} \\ \times \left(\prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-1}{2}]} \prod_{l=1}^{M-1-2m} B'(x+l+m;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right)^{-1} \det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.99}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2l-1,k} &= \mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{d_k}(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \ \ (l=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+2}{2}]; k=1,\dots,M), \\ a_{2l,k} &= \mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l}\check{\xi}_{d_k-1}(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \ \ (l=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+1}{2}]; k=1,\dots,M), \\ a_{2l-1,M+1} &= \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{P}_n(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \qquad (l=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+2}{2}]) \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} c^{l-1} & : \mathrm{M} \\ a^{l-1}q^{Mx} & : \mathrm{lqL, lqJ} \\ \dfrac{(x+d-a+l, x+d-b+l)_{M+1-l}(x+a,x+b)_{l-1}}{(d-a+1,d-b+1)_M} & : \mathrm{R} \\ \dfrac{(a^{-1}dq^{x+l}, b^{-1}dq^{x+l};q)_{M+1-l}(aq^x,bq^x;q)_{l-1}}{(abd^{-1}q^{-1})^{l-1}q^{Mx}(a^{-1}dq,b^{-1}dq;q)_M} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}, \\ a_{2l,M+1} &= \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l}\check{P}_n(x+l-1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \qquad (l=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+1}{2}]) \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} c^{l-1} & : \mathrm{M} \\ (aq^{-1})^{l-1}q^{(M-1)x} & : \mathrm{lqL, lqJ} \\ \dfrac{(x+d-a+l+1, x+d-b+l+1)_{M-l}(x+a,x+b)_{l-1}}{(d-a+2,d-b+2)_{M-1}} & : \mathrm{R} \\ \dfrac{(a^{-1}dq^{x+l+1}, b^{-1}dq^{x+l+1};q)_{M-l}(aq^x,bq^x;q)_{l-1}}{(abd^{-1}q^{-2})^{l-1}q^{(M-1)x}(a^{-1}dq^2,b^{-1}dq^2;q)_{M-1}} & : q\mathrm{R} \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.100}$$

3 Multi-indexed Orthogonal Polynomials in idQM

In this section we derive various equivalent expressions for the multi-indexed Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials in the framework of the discrete quantum mechanics with pure imaginary shifts.

3.1 Original systems

The Hamiltonian of the discrete quantum mechanics with pure imaginary shifts (idQM) [46, 47], whose dynamical variables are the real coordinate x ($x_1 \le x \le x_2$) and the conjugate momentum $p = -i\frac{d}{dx}$, is

$$\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{V(x)}\, e^{\gamma p} \sqrt{V^*(x)} + \sqrt{V^*(x)}\, e^{-\gamma p} \sqrt{V(x)} - V(x) - V^*(x) = \mathcal{A}^\dagger \mathcal{A}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i\big(e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p}\sqrt{V^*(x)} - e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}\sqrt{V(x)}\big), \quad \mathcal{A}^\dagger \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i\big(\sqrt{V(x)}\, e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} - \sqrt{V^*(x)}\, e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}\big). \tag{3.2}$$

Here the potential function $V(x)$ is an analytic function of x and γ is a real constant. The $*$-operation on an analytic function $f(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n$ ($a_n \in \mathbb{C}$) is defined by $f^*(x) = \sum_n a_n^* x^n$, in which a_n^* is the complex conjugation of a_n. Since the momentum operator appears in exponentiated forms, the Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathcal{H}\phi_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n \phi_n(x) \ \ (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \quad 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 < \mathcal{E}_1 < \mathcal{E}_2 < \cdots, \tag{3.3}$$

is an analytic difference equation with pure imaginary shifts.

We consider idQM described by the Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials. Various parameters are

$$\text{W}: \ x_1 = 0, \ x_2 = \infty, \ \gamma = 1, \qquad \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \ \boldsymbol{\delta} = (\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}), \ \ \kappa = 1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\text{AW}: \ x_1 = 0, \ x_2 = \pi, \ \gamma = \log q, \ q^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \ \boldsymbol{\delta} = (\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}), \ \ \kappa = q^{-1}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $0 < q < 1$. The parameters are restricted by

$$\{a_1^*, a_2^*, a_3^*, a_4^*\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \ \ \text{(as a set)}; \quad \text{W}: \ \operatorname{Re} a_i > 0, \quad \text{AW}: \ |a_i| < 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Here are the fundamental data [46]:

$$V(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \big(2ix(2ix+1)\big)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^4 (a_j + ix) & : \text{W} \\ \big((1 - e^{2ix})(1 - qe^{2ix})\big)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^4 (1 - a_j e^{ix}) & : \text{AW} \end{cases}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & : \text{W} \\ \cos x & : \text{AW} \end{cases}, \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & : \text{W} \\ 2\sin x & : \text{AW} \end{cases}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} n(n + b_1 - 1) & : \text{W} \\ (q^{-n} - 1)(1 - b_4 q^{n-1}) & : \text{AW} \end{cases}, \quad \begin{array}{l} b_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4, \\ b_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4, \end{array} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\phi_n(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \phi_0(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \check{P}_n(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.10}$$

$$\check{P}_n(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = P_n\big(\eta(x); \boldsymbol{\lambda}\big) = \begin{cases} W_n\big(\eta(x); a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\big) & : \text{W} \\ p_n\big(\eta(x); a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 | q\big) & : \text{AW} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (a_1+a_2, a_1+a_3, a_1+a_4)_n \\ \quad \times {}_4F_3\Big(\begin{matrix} -n,\ n+b_1-1,\ a_1+ix,\ a_1-ix \\ a_1+a_2,\ a_1+a_3,\ a_1+a_4 \end{matrix} \Big| 1 \Big) & : \mathrm{W} \\ a_1^{-n}(a_1a_2, a_1a_3, a_1a_4; q)_n \\ \quad \times {}_4\phi_3\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-n},\ b_4q^{n-1},\ a_1e^{ix},\ a_1e^{-ix} \\ a_1a_2,\ a_1a_3,\ a_1a_4 \end{matrix} \Big| q\,; q \Big) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\big(\Gamma(2ix)\Gamma(-2ix)\big)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^4 \Gamma(a_j+ix)\Gamma(a_j-ix)} & : \mathrm{W} \\ \sqrt{(e^{2ix}, e^{-2ix}; q)_\infty \prod_{j=1}^4 (a_je^{ix}, a_je^{-ix}; q)_\infty^{-1}} & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $W_n(\eta; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $p_n(\eta; a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4|q)$ are the Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials [45], respectively. Note that

$$\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \varphi(x)\sqrt{V(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\,\phi_0(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.13}$$

$$V(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \kappa^{-1}\frac{\varphi(x-i\gamma)}{\varphi(x)} V(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{3.14}$$

3.2 Virtual states

The virtual state wavefunctions are obtained by using the discrete symmetries of the Hamiltonian [10]. In the following we restrict the parameters as follows:

$$a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \ \text{ or } \ a_2^* = a_1\ ; \quad a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R} \ \text{ or } \ a_4^* = a_3. \tag{3.15}$$

We define two twist operations $\mathfrak{t}$, type I and type II,

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1-\lambda_1, 1-\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4), \quad \mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1-\lambda_3, 1-\lambda_4), \tag{3.16}$$

which are involutions $\mathfrak{t}^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and satisfy

$$\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + u\boldsymbol{\delta} = \mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + u\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \ \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}), \ \ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{II}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}). \tag{3.17}$$

By using these two types of twist operations, two types of virtual state wavefunctions, $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{I}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{II}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, are introduced:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{\mathrm{v}}\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big) = \tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big), \\ &\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \xi_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{P}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big) = P_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\eta(x);\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The virtual state polynomials $\xi_{\mathrm{v}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (two types $\xi_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\xi_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{II}}$) are polynomials of degree v in η. The virtual state wavefunctions satisfy the Schrödinger equation $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) =$

$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\rm v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\rm v}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ with the virtual energies $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\rm v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\rm I}_{\rm v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -(a_1+a_2-{\rm v}-1)(a_3+a_4+{\rm v}) & :{\rm W} \\ -(1-a_1a_2q^{-{\rm v}-1})(1-a_3a_4q^{\rm v}) & :{\rm AW} \end{cases},$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\rm II}_{\rm v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -(a_3+a_4-{\rm v}-1)(a_1+a_2+{\rm v}) & :{\rm W} \\ -(1-a_3a_4q^{-{\rm v}-1})(1-a_1a_2q^{\rm v}) & :{\rm AW} \end{cases}. \tag{3.19}$$

Other data $\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\alpha'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (see [10] for details) are

$$\alpha^{\rm I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} 1 & :{\rm W} \\ a_1a_2q^{-1} & :{\rm AW} \end{cases}, \quad \alpha'^{\rm I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -(a_1+a_2-1)(a_3+a_4) & :{\rm W} \\ -(1-a_1a_2q^{-1})(1-a_3a_4) & :{\rm AW} \end{cases},$$
$$\alpha^{\rm II}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} 1 & :{\rm W} \\ a_3a_4q^{-1} & :{\rm AW} \end{cases}, \quad \alpha'^{\rm II}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -(a_3+a_4-1)(a_1+a_2) & :{\rm W} \\ -(1-a_3a_4q^{-1})(1-a_1a_2) & :{\rm AW} \end{cases}. \tag{3.20}$$

In order to obtain well-defined quantum systems, we have to restrict the degree v and the parameters a_i so that the conditions, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\rm v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})<0$, $\alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})>0$, $\alpha'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})<0$, no zeros of $\xi_{\rm v}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in the domain etc., are satisfied, see [10]. In this paper, however, we consider algebraic properties only and we do not bother about the ranges of v and a_i.

The functions $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (two types $\nu^{\rm I}$ and $\nu^{\rm II}$) are defined as the ratio of ϕ_0 and $\tilde{\phi}_0$,

$$\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \frac{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\tilde{\phi}_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}. \tag{3.21}$$

The functions $r_j(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda},M)$ (two types $r_j^{\rm I}$ and $r_j^{\rm II}$) are defined as the ratio of ν's,

$$r_j(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda},M) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \frac{\nu(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\nu\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)} \quad (1\le j\le M), \tag{3.22}$$

where $x_j^{(M)} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} x+i(\frac{M+1}{2}-j)\gamma$. Their explicit forms are

$$r^{\rm I}_j(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda},M) = \alpha^{\rm I}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\rm I}\bigr)^{-\frac{1}{2}(M-1)}\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}(M-1)^2-(j-1)(M-j)} \tag{3.23}$$
$$\times \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{k=1,2}(a_k-\tfrac{M-1}{2}+ix)_{j-1}(a_k-\tfrac{M-1}{2}-ix)_{M-j} & :{\rm W} \\ e^{ix(M+1-2j)}\displaystyle\prod_{k=1,2}(a_kq^{-\frac{M-1}{2}}e^{ix};q)_{j-1}(a_kq^{-\frac{M-1}{2}}e^{-ix};q)_{M-j} & :{\rm AW} \end{cases},$$
$$r^{\rm II}_j(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda},M) = \alpha^{\rm II}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\rm II}\bigr)^{-\frac{1}{2}(M-1)}\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}(M-1)^2-(j-1)(M-j)} \tag{3.24}$$
$$\times \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{k=3,4}(a_k-\tfrac{M-1}{2}+ix)_{j-1}(a_k-\tfrac{M-1}{2}-ix)_{M-j} & :{\rm W} \\ e^{ix(M+1-2j)}\displaystyle\prod_{k=3,4}(a_kq^{-\frac{M-1}{2}}e^{ix};q)_{j-1}(a_kq^{-\frac{M-1}{2}}e^{-ix};q)_{M-j} & :{\rm AW} \end{cases}.$$

The auxiliary function $\varphi_M(x)$ [34] is defined by

$$\varphi_M(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi(x)^{[\frac{M}{2}]} \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} \bigl(\varphi(x - i\tfrac{k}{2}\gamma)\varphi(x + i\tfrac{k}{2}\gamma)\bigr)^{[\frac{M-k}{2}]}$$
$$= \prod_{1\le j<k\le M} \frac{\eta(x_j^{(M)}) - \eta(x_k^{(M)})}{\varphi(i\frac{j}{2}\gamma)} \times \begin{cases} 1 & : \mathrm{W} \\ (-2)^{\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)} & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}, \tag{3.25}$$

and $\varphi_0(x) = \varphi_1(x) = 1$.

For $-M \le k \le M$ and $k \equiv M \pmod 2$, we can show that

$$\frac{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + M\boldsymbol{\delta})}{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + k\boldsymbol{\delta})} = \prod_{l=0}^{\frac{M-k}{2}-1} \check{U}\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + (k+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr), \tag{3.26}$$

where the functions $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (two types $\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}$) are

$$\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^{2}(a_k + ix)(a_k - ix) & : \mathrm{W} \\ (a_1a_2)^{-1}\prod_{k=1}^{2}(1 - a_ke^{ix})(1 - a_ke^{-ix}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases},$$
$$\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \prod_{k=3}^{4}(a_k + ix)(a_k - ix) & : \mathrm{W} \\ (a_3a_4)^{-1}\prod_{k=3}^{4}(1 - a_ke^{ix})(1 - a_ke^{-ix}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}. \tag{3.27}$$

In fact, these functions $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are polynomials $U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in η as defined by

$$U\bigl(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}\bigr) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.28}$$
$$U^{\mathrm{I}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^{2}(a_k^2 + \eta) & : \mathrm{W} \\ \prod_{k=1}^{2}(a_k + a_k^{-1} - 2\eta) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}, \quad U^{\mathrm{II}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=3}^{4}(a_k^2 + \eta) & : \mathrm{W} \\ \prod_{k=3}^{4}(a_k + a_k^{-1} - 2\eta) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}.$$

Since $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ satisfies $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + k\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) = \nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} - k\boldsymbol{\delta})$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$, (3.26) gives

$$\frac{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + M\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})}{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + M\boldsymbol{\delta})} = \prod_{l=0}^{M-1} \check{U}\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda} + (-M+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)^{-1}. \tag{3.29}$$

In the following we adopt the convention,

$$\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \ \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}), \quad \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \ \ (\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}). \tag{3.30}$$

3.3 Shape invariance

The original systems in § 3.1 are shape invariant [46, 47] and they satisfy the relation

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger = \kappa\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})^\dagger\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta}) + \mathcal{E}_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.31}$$

which is a sufficient condition for exact solvability. As a consequence of the shape invariance, the action of the operators $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger$ on the eigenfunctions is

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger\phi_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.32}$$

where the factors of the energy eigenvalue, $f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, are given by

$$f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} -n(n+b_1-1) & : \text{W} \\ q^{\frac{n}{2}}(q^{-n}-1)(1-b_4q^{n-1}) & : \text{AW} \end{cases}, \quad b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} -1 & : \text{W} \\ q^{-\frac{n}{2}} & : \text{AW} \end{cases}. \tag{3.33}$$

The relations (3.32) are equivalent to the forward and backward shift relations of the orthogonal polynomials P_n [45],

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.34}$$

where the forward and backward shift operators $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = i\varphi(x)^{-1}(e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} - e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}), \tag{3.35}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger \circ \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = -i\big(V(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} - V^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}\big)\varphi(x). \tag{3.36}$$

Note that the forward shift operator $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is parameter independent. The second order difference operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ acting on the polynomial eigenfunctions is square root free. It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \circ \phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ &= V(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})(e^{\gamma p}-1) + V^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})(e^{-\gamma p}-1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{3.38}$$

The action of the operators $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger$ on the virtual state wavefunctions $\tilde{\phi}_{\text{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ ($\tilde{\phi}^{\text{I}}_{\text{v}}$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{\text{II}}_{\text{v}}$) is

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\text{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\text{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger\tilde{\phi}_{\text{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \tilde{b}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\text{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{3.39}$$

where the factors of the virtual energy eigenvalue, $\tilde{f}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\tilde{b}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{b}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, are given by

$$\tilde{f}^{\text{I}}_{\text{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha^{\text{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \begin{cases} a_1+a_2-\text{v}-1 & : \text{W} \\ -q^{\frac{\text{v}}{2}}(1-a_1a_2q^{-\text{v}-1}) & : \text{AW} \end{cases},$$

$$\tilde{b}^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \alpha^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \begin{cases} -(a_3+a_4+\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{W} \\ q^{-\frac{\mathrm{v}}{2}}(1-a_3a_4q^{\mathrm{v}}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases},$$
$$\tilde{f}^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \alpha^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \begin{cases} a_3+a_4-\mathrm{v}-1 & : \mathrm{W} \\ -q^{\frac{\mathrm{v}}{2}}(1-a_3a_4q^{-\mathrm{v}-1}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}, \tag{3.40}$$
$$\tilde{b}^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \alpha^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \begin{cases} -(a_1+a_2+\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{W} \\ q^{-\frac{\mathrm{v}}{2}}(1-a_1a_2q^{\mathrm{v}}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}.$$

The relations (3.39) are equivalent to the forward and backward shift relations of $(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ ($\nu^{\mathrm{I}\,-1}\check{\xi}^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\nu^{\mathrm{II}\,-1}\check{\xi}^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{v}}$),

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \tag{3.41}$$

Explicitly they give square root free relations: forward and backward shift relations of the virtual polynomials ξ_{v} ($\xi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\xi^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{v}}$) [10],

$$\begin{aligned} i\varphi(x)^{-1}\big(v_1^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} - v_1(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}\big)\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \\ i\varphi(x)^{-1}\big(v_2(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} - v_2^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}\big)\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) &= \alpha(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

where the functions $v_1(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $v_2(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are

$$v_1(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{2}(a_j+ix) & : \mathrm{W} \\ e^{-ix}\prod_{j=1}^{2}(1-a_je^{ix}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}, \quad v_2(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \prod_{j=3}^{4}(a_j+ix) & : \mathrm{W} \\ e^{-ix}\prod_{j=3}^{4}(1-a_je^{ix}) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}. \tag{3.43}$$

3.4 Multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials

The original systems in § 3.1 are iso-spectrally deformed by applying multiple Darboux transformations with virtual state wavefunctions $\{\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x)\}$ as seed solutions. The virtual states wavefunctions are labeled by (v, t): v is the degree of the polynomial part ξ_{v} and t stands for type I or II. We take M virtual state wavefunctions specified by the multi-index set $\mathcal{D} = \{(d_1,\mathrm{t}_1),\ldots,(d_M,\mathrm{t}_M)\}$ (or shortly $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1,\ldots,d_M\}$) (ordered set), $M = M_{\mathrm{I}} + M_{\mathrm{II}}$, $M_{\mathrm{I}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \#\{d_j|(d_j,\mathrm{I}) \in \mathcal{D}\}$, $M_{\mathrm{II}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \#\{d_j|(d_j,\mathrm{II}) \in \mathcal{D}\}$. The deformed Hamiltonian is denoted as $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials $\{\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$ are the main parts of the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$ of the deformed system $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ [10]:

$$\phi_{\mathcal{D}\,n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=0}^{M-1}V\big(x+i(\frac{M}{2}-j)\gamma;\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)V^*\big(x-i(\frac{M}{2}-j)\gamma;\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big)}}{\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1},\ldots,\tilde{\phi}_{d_M}](x-i\frac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1},\ldots,\tilde{\phi}_{d_M}](x+i\frac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \mathrm{W}_\gamma[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1},\dots,\tilde{\phi}_{d_M},\phi_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\
&=\alpha^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\mathrm{I}},M_{\mathrm{II}}]})^{\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}}\alpha^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\mathrm{I}},M_{\mathrm{II}}]})^{\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{II}}}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}M_{\mathrm{I}}(M_{\mathrm{I}}+1)-\frac{1}{4}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M_{\mathrm{II}}+1)+\frac{5}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}}\\
&\times \psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.44}
$$

$$
\psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\frac{\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\mathrm{I}},M_{\mathrm{II}}]})}{\sqrt{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x-i\frac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x+i\frac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda})}},\quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\mathrm{I}},M_{\mathrm{II}}]}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M_{\mathrm{I}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{I}}+M_{\mathrm{II}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\mathrm{II}},
\tag{3.45}
$$

$$
\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}P_{\mathcal{D},n}\big(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big),\quad \check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}\big(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big).
\tag{3.46}
$$

Here $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[f_1,\dots,f_n](x)$ is the Casoratian (3.52), and $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are the main polynomial part of the Casoratians $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1},\dots,\tilde{\phi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\tilde{\phi}_{d_1},\dots,\tilde{\phi}_{d_M},\phi_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, respectively. The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are polynomials in η and their degrees are generically $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}+n$, respectively. Here $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ is $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\sum_{j=1}^{M}d_j-\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)+2M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}$.

In [10], the denominator polynomials and the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials for the Wilson and Askey-Wilson types are expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\
&\overset{\text{def}}{=}i^{\frac{1}{2}M(M-1)}\left|\ \vec{X}^{(M)}_{d_1}\ \cdots\ \vec{X}^{(M)}_{d_M}\ \right|\times\varphi_M(x)^{-1}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M-2)}\\
&\times\left(\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-1}\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(1-M+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-1-l}\cdot\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-1}\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(1-M+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-1-l}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.47}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\
&\overset{\text{def}}{=}i^{\frac{1}{2}M(M+1)}\left|\ \vec{X}^{(M+1)}_{d_1}\ \cdots\ \vec{X}^{(M+1)}_{d_M}\ \ \vec{Z}^{(M+1)}_{n}\ \right|\times\varphi_{M+1}(x)^{-1}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M+2)}\\
&\times\left(\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-1}\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(-M+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-l}\cdot\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-1}\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(-M+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-l}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.48}
$$

where $\vec{X}^{(M)}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ($\vec{X}^{(M)\,\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{v}}$ or $\vec{X}^{(M)\,\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{v}}$) and $\vec{Z}^{(M)}_{n}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big(\vec{X}^{(M)\,\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{v}}\big)_j=r^{\bar{\mathrm{t}}}_j(x^{(M)}_j;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M)\check{\xi}^{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{v}}(x^{(M)}_j;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\quad \bar{\mathrm{t}}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\begin{cases}\mathrm{II} & :\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{I}\\ \mathrm{I} & :\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{II}\end{cases},\\
&\big(\vec{Z}^{(M)}_{n}\big)_j=r^{\mathrm{II}}_j(x^{(M)}_j;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M)r^{\mathrm{I}}_j(x^{(M)}_j;\boldsymbol{\lambda},M)\check{P}_n(x^{(M)}_j;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\ \ (1\le j\le M).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.49}
$$

By using the properties of the determinant, (3.53), (3.22), (3.26) and (3.29), we can rewrite these as follows:

$\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathrm{W}_\gamma[\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \varphi_M(x)^{-1}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M-2)} \\
&\quad \times \nu^{\mathrm{I}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}}\nu^{\mathrm{II}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}} \qquad (3.50)\\
&\quad \times \Bigg(\prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}}\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-m}\cdot\prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}}\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-m}\Bigg)^{-1}, \\
&\quad \check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\
&= \mathrm{W}_\gamma[\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_M},\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \varphi_{M+1}(x)^{-1}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M+2)} \\
&\quad \times \nu^{\mathrm{I}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})^{M_{\mathrm{I}}}\nu^{\mathrm{II}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})^{M_{\mathrm{II}}} \qquad (3.51)\\
&\quad \times \Bigg(\prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}}\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-m}\cdot\prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}}\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-m}\Bigg)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the special situations when the index set $\mathcal{D}$ consists type I indices only (or type II indices only), the above Casoratians can be simplified as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{M}\nu(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\\
\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_M},\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{M+1}\nu(x_j^{(M+1)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M},\nu\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.53). However, when $\mathcal{D}$ contains both I and II types, such rewriting is impossible due to $\nu^{\mathrm{I}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\neq\nu^{\mathrm{II}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

In the next subsection we will rewrite (3.50)–(3.51) by using the identities obtained from the shape invariance and the Casoratian.

3.5 New determinant expressions

3.5.1 Casoratian

The Casorati determinant of a set of n functions $\{f_j(x)\}$ is defined by

$$
\mathrm{W}_\gamma[f_1,f_2,\dots,f_n](x) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} i^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}\det\Big(f_k\big(x_j^{(n)}\big)\Big)_{1\le j,k\le n},\quad x_j^{(n)}\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}x+i(\tfrac{n+1}{2}-j)\gamma, \qquad (3.52)
$$

(for $n=0$, we set $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\cdot](x)=1$), which satisfies

$$
\mathrm{W}_\gamma[gf_1,gf_2,\dots,gf_n]=\prod_{j=1}^{n}g\big(x_j^{(n)}\big)\cdot\mathrm{W}_\gamma[f_1,f_2,\dots,f_n](x). \qquad (3.53)
$$

The following determinant formula holds for any analytic functions $q_j(x)$, $r_j(x)$, $q_j'(x)$ and $r_j'(x)$ $(j=1,2,\dots)$:

$$
i^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le n}
$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} D_{n+1-2m}(x) \cdot \prod_{m=0}^{n-2} \prod_{l=0}^{[\frac{m-1}{2}]} r_{n-1-m}\big(x+i(\tfrac{m}{2}-l)\gamma\big) q_{n-1-m}\big(x-i(\tfrac{m}{2}-l)\gamma\big)$$
$$\times \mathrm{W}_\gamma[f_1,\ldots,f_n](x), \tag{3.54}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_{j,k} = \overleftarrow{\prod_{l=1}^{n+1-2j}} \hat{D}_l \cdot f_k(x) & (j=1,\ldots,[\frac{n+1}{2}]) \\ a_{j,k} = \hat{D}'_{2j-n-1} \overleftarrow{\prod_{l=1}^{2j-n-2}} \hat{D}_l \cdot f_k(x) & (j=[\frac{n+3}{2}],\ldots,n) \end{cases}, \quad (k=1,\ldots,n), \tag{3.55}$$

where operators $\hat{D}_j$, $\hat{D}'_j$ and functions $D_j(x)$ $(j=1,2,\ldots)$ are

$$\hat{D}_j = q_j(x)e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} + r_j(x)e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}, \quad \hat{D}'_j = q'_j(x)e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}p} + r'_j(x)e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}p}, \tag{3.56}$$
$$D_j(x) = r_j(x)q'_j(x) - r'_j(x)q_j(x). \tag{3.57}$$

This formula can be proven by using the properties of the determinant (row properties) and induction in n (even $n \to$ odd $n+1$, odd $n \to$ even $n+1$).

By taking $\mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{B}$ as $\hat{D}_j$ and $\hat{D}'_j$ and using shape invariance properties (3.34) and (3.41), the Casoratians $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_1},\ldots,\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{d_M},\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in (3.50)–(3.51) can be rewritten in various ways. We consider two typical cases:

$$\mathrm{A}: \ \hat{D}_j = \mathcal{F}\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big), \ \ \hat{D}'_j = \mathcal{B}\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big) \ \ (j=1,2,\ldots), \tag{3.58}$$
$$\mathrm{B}: \ \hat{D}_{2l-1} = \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \ \hat{D}_{2l} = \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \ \hat{D}'_{2l-1} = \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta}), \ \hat{D}'_{2l} = \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) \ \ (l=1,2,\ldots), \tag{3.59}$$

which correspond to the cases A and B in [42]. For the function $D_j(x)$ (3.57), we define a function $\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and a polynomial $S(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$:

$$\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i\big(V(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}) - V^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2})\big),$$
$$S(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} b_1\eta - b_3 & : \mathrm{W} \\ q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big(2(1-b_4)\eta + b_3 - b_1\big) & : \mathrm{AW} \end{cases}, \quad \check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = S\big(\eta(x);\boldsymbol{\lambda}\big), \tag{3.60}$$

where b_3 is $b_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1a_2a_3 + a_1a_2a_4 + a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3a_4$.

3.5.2 case A

Firstly we consider case A (3.58). The functions $q_j(x)$, $r_j(x)$, $q'_j(x)$, $r'_j(x)$ and $D_j(x)$ are

$$q_j(x) = i\varphi(x)^{-1}, \quad r_j(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1},$$
$$q'_j(x) = -iV\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}), \quad r'_j(x) = iV^*\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}), \tag{3.61}$$

$$D_j(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1}\check{S}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big).$$

The shape invariance properties (3.34) and (3.41) give ($k=0,1,\ldots$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} f_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\check{P}_{n-k}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+k\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1}\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+k\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{k+1}\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = b_{n-k}\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\prod_{m=0}^{k-1} f_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\check{P}_{n+1-k}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big),\\
&\hat{D}'_{k+1}\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\prod_{m=0}^{k-1}\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.62}$$

We rewrite (3.50)–(3.51) in the following way. (i) By using (3.54)–(3.55), rewrite the Casoratians in (3.50)–(3.51) as determinants $\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M'}$ ($M'=M$ for $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $M'=M+1$ for $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}$). (ii) Rewrite each matrix element $a_{j,k}$ by (3.62). (iii) Multiply the k-th column ($1\le k\le M$) of $\det(a_{j,k})$ by $\nu\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M'-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)$ (ν^{I} or ν^{II} depending on d_k), and divide the determinant by $\nu^{\mathrm{I}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M'-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}}\nu^{\mathrm{II}}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M'-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}}$. (iv) Rewrite $\nu\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M'-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)/\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+l\boldsymbol{\delta})$ in $a_{j,k}$ by (3.26). Then $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\check{\xi}_{d_k}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{P}_{n'}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and $\varphi(x')$. Straightforward calculation shows that the factors $\varphi(x')$ are canceled out. Thus $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\eta(x)$, namely $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed without explicit x-dependence. Their final forms are as follows. The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\
&=(-1)^{\frac{1}{6}(M-1)M(M+1)}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M-2)}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}\\
&\quad\times\Bigg(\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-1}U^{\mathrm{I}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M_{\mathrm{II}}-M_{\mathrm{I}}-1+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{l}\cdot\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-1}U^{\mathrm{II}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M_{\mathrm{I}}-M_{\mathrm{II}}-1+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{l}\\
&\qquad\times\prod_{m=1}^{[\frac{M}{2}]}S\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\Bigg)^{-1},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.63}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$a_{j,k}=\prod_{m=0}^{M-2j}\tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\prod_{l=1}^{j-1}U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\cdot\xi_{d_k}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M+1-2j)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(j=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+1}{2}];k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,k}&=\tilde{b}_{d_k}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-3)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{2j-M-3}\tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\prod_{l=1}^{M+1-j}U\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\\
&\quad\times\xi_{d_k}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-3)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\ \ (j=[\tfrac{M+3}{2}],\dots,M;k=1,\dots,M).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.64}
$$

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\
&=(-1)^{\frac{1}{6}M(M+1)(M+2)}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M+2)}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}\\
&\quad\times\Biggl(\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-1}U^{\mathrm{I}}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M_{\mathrm{II}}-M_{\mathrm{I}}+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)^{l}\cdot\prod_{l=0}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-1}U^{\mathrm{II}}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M_{\mathrm{I}}-M_{\mathrm{II}}+2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)^{l}\\
&\qquad\times\prod_{m=1}^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]}S\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\Biggr)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.65}
$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j,k}&=\prod_{m=0}^{M+1-2j}\tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\prod_{l=1}^{j-1}U\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\cdot\xi_{d_k}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M+2-2j)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\\
&\quad(j=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+2}{2}];k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,k}&=\tilde{b}_{d_k}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{2j-M-4}\tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\prod_{l=1}^{M+2-j}U\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\\
&\quad\times\xi_{d_k}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\ \ (j=[\tfrac{M+4}{2}],\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,M+1}&=\prod_{m=0}^{M+1-2j}f_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot P_{n-M-2+2j}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M+2-2j)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\ \ (j=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+2}{2}]),\\
a_{j,M+1}&=b_{n-2j+M+3}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{2j-M-4}f_{n-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\boldsymbol{\delta})\\
&\quad\times P_{n-2j+M+4}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\ \ (j=[\tfrac{M+4}{2}],\dots,M+1).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.66}
$$

3.5.3 case B

Secondly we consider case B (3.59). The functions $q_j(x)$, $r_j(x)$, $q'_j(x)$, $r'_j(x)$ and $D_j(x)$ are $(l=1,2,\dots)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2l-1}(x)&=i\varphi(x)^{-1},\quad r_{2l-1}(x)=-i\varphi(x)^{-1},\\
q_{2l}(x)&=-iV(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\quad r_{2l}(x)=iV^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\\
q'_{2l-1}(x)&=-iV(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\quad r'_{2l-1}=iV^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.67}
$$

$$q'_{2l}(x) = i\varphi(x)^{-1}, \quad r'_{2l}(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1},$$
$$D_{2l-1}(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1}\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad D_{2l}(x) = i\varphi(x)^{-1}\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

The shape invariance properties (3.34) and (3.41) give ($l = 1, 2, \ldots$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{P}_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l-1}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = b_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{P}_{n+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{P}_{n-2}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l-1}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}})(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\boldsymbol{\delta}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

Like case A, we rewrite (3.50)–(3.51) by the steps (i)–(iv). By using (3.14) and

$$V(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})V^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \alpha^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\alpha^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\kappa^{-1}\frac{\check{U}^{\mathrm{I}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{U}^{\mathrm{II}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\varphi(x-i\frac{\gamma}{2})\varphi(x)^2\varphi(x+i\frac{\gamma}{2})}, \tag{3.69}$$

$\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\check{\xi}_{d_k}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{P}_{n'}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and $\varphi(x')$. Straightforward calculation shows that the factors $\varphi(x')$ are canceled out. Thus $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\eta(x)$, namely $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed without explicit x-dependence. Their final forms are as follows. The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\\
&= (-1)^{[\frac{M}{2}]}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M-2)}\big(\alpha^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\alpha^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\kappa^{-1}\big)^{-\frac{1}{2}[\frac{M-2}{2}][\frac{M}{2}]}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \Bigg(\prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}} U^{\mathrm{I}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-m} \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}} U^{\mathrm{II}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-m}$$
$$\times \prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-2}{2}]} U^{\mathrm{I}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{m} \cdot \prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-2}{2}]} U^{\mathrm{II}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{m}$$
$$\times S\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tfrac{1}{2}(1+(-1)^M)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{[\frac{M}{2}]} \Bigg)^{-1}, \tag{3.70}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$a_{j,k} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+1}{2}-j} \prod_{l=0}^{\frac{M-3}{2}} U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2l\boldsymbol{\delta}) \cdot \xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \quad (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+1}{2}; k=1,\dots,M),$$
$$a_{j,k} = \tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})\tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+3}{2}} \prod_{l=1}^{\frac{M-3}{2}} U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2l\boldsymbol{\delta}) \cdot \xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\boldsymbol{\delta}) \tag{3.71}$$
$$(j=\tfrac{M+3}{2},\dots,M; k=1,\dots,M),$$

for odd M and

$$a_{j,k} = \tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M}{2}-j} \prod_{l=1}^{\frac{M-2}{2}} U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2l-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big) \cdot \xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}),$$
$$(j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M}{2}; k=1,\dots,M), \tag{3.72}$$
$$a_{j,k} = \tilde{b}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+2}{2}} \prod_{l=0}^{\frac{M-2}{2}} U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2l-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big) \cdot \xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta}) \quad (j=\tfrac{M+2}{2},\dots,M),$$

for even M. The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$
$$= (-1)^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}M_{\mathrm{I}}M_{\mathrm{II}}(M+2)}\big(\alpha^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\alpha^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\kappa^{-1}\big)^{-\frac{1}{2}[\frac{M-1}{2}][\frac{M+1}{2}]} \det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}$$
$$\times \Bigg(\prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{I}}} U^{\mathrm{I}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{I}}-m} \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{M_{\mathrm{II}}} U^{\mathrm{II}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M_{\mathrm{II}}-m}$$
$$\times \prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-1}{2}]} U^{\mathrm{I}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{m} \cdot \prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-1}{2}]} U^{\mathrm{II}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{m}$$
$$\times S\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^M)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]} \Bigg)^{-1}, \tag{3.73}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$a_{j,k} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+2}{2}-j} \prod_{l=0}^{\frac{M}{2}-1} U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2l\boldsymbol{\delta}) \cdot \xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \quad (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+2}{2}; k=1,\dots,M),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j,k} &= \tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})\tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+4}{2}}\prod_{l=1}^{\frac{M}{2}-1}U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2l\boldsymbol{\delta})\cdot\xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\boldsymbol{\delta})\\
&\qquad (j=\tfrac{M+4}{2},\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,M+1} &= \mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+2}{2}-j}P_n(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+2}{2}),\\
a_{j,M+1} &= f_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+4}{2}}P_{n-2}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\boldsymbol{\delta})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+4}{2},\dots,M+1),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.74}
$$

for even M and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j,k} &= \tilde{f}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+1}{2}-j}\prod_{l=1}^{\frac{M-1}{2}}U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2l-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\cdot\xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}),\\
&\qquad (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+1}{2};k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,k} &= \tilde{b}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+3}{2}}\prod_{l=0}^{\frac{M-1}{2}}U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2l-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)\cdot\xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\\
&\qquad (j=\tfrac{M+3}{2},\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,M+1} &= f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+1}{2}-j}P_{n-1}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+1}{2}),\\
a_{j,M+1} &= b_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\mathcal{E}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+3}{2}}P_{n+1}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+3}{2},\dots,M+1),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.75}
$$

for odd M.

3.5.4 single type

As remarked after (3.51), when the index set $\mathcal{D}$ consists of type I indices only (or type II indices only), simplifications occur. We consider such a single type index set here. As shown in [48, 49], the multi-indexed polynomials with both type indices can always be recovered from those with single type indices $\mathcal{D}'$ (type I only or type II only) with shifted parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$, namely

$$
P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{c^P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{c^P_{\mathcal{D}',n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}')}P_{\mathcal{D}',n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}'),
\tag{3.76}
$$

where $c^P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is the coefficient of the highest degree term of $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ [10], and $\mathcal{D}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ are determined by $\mathcal{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ [48].

Let us define $\mathcal{F}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{B}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $f'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{E}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, etc. as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\mathcal{F}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\quad \mathcal{B}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\mathcal{B}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\\
&f'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}f_n\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\quad b'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}b_n\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\quad \tilde{f}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\quad \tilde{b}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big),\\
&\mathcal{E}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\mathcal{E}_n\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)=f'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})b'_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\overset{\text{def}}{=}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)=\tilde{f}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{b}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.77}
$$

For $\mathcal{F}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{B}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $f'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $b'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{E}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, the type of $\mathfrak{t}$ is I or II, e.g., $\mathcal{F}'^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{F}\big(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)$ and $\mathcal{F}'^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{F}\big(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)$. For $\tilde{f}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\tilde{b}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, the type of $\mathfrak{t}$ is matched to that of them, e.g., $\tilde{f}^{\mathrm{I}\prime}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)$ and $\tilde{f}^{\mathrm{II}\prime}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{II}}_{\mathrm{v}}\big(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{II}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\big)$. Then the relations (3.34) and (3.41) with the replacement $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mapsto \mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (the type of $\mathfrak{t}$ is I or II for (3.34), and matched to $\nu^{-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}$ for (3.41)) and exchange $n \leftrightarrow \mathrm{v}$ give

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}), \qquad \hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) = b'_{\mathrm{v}-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad (3.78)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) = \tilde{b}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad (3.79)$$

where $(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

In the following we consider the index set $\mathcal{D}$ with single type twists. The type of unspecified $\mathfrak{t}$, $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{F}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{B}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $f'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\mathcal{E}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ etc. is same as the type of the indices of $\mathcal{D}$. The expressions (3.50)–(3.51) are simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \mathrm{W}_\gamma[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \varphi_M(x)^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^{M}\frac{\nu\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)}{\nu(x_j^{(M)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &\quad\times\Bigg(\prod_{m=1}^{M}\check{U}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M-m}\Bigg)^{-1}, \qquad (3.80)\\ \check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \mathrm{W}_\gamma[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M},\nu\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \varphi_{M+1}(x)^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^{M+1}\frac{\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})}{\nu(x_j^{(M+1)};\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \\ &\quad\times\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})^{-1}\Bigg(\prod_{m=1}^{M}\check{U}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M-m}\Bigg)^{-1}. \qquad (3.81)\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\mathcal{F}'$ or $\mathcal{B}'$ as $\hat{D}_j$ and $\hat{D}'_j$ and using shape invariance properties (3.78)–(3.79), the Casoratians $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M}](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathrm{W}_\gamma[\check{\xi}_{d_1},\dots,\check{\xi}_{d_M},\nu\check{P}_n](x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in (3.80)–(3.81) can be rewritten in various ways. We consider typical two cases:

$$\mathrm{A}: \ \hat{D}_j = \mathcal{F}'\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big), \quad \hat{D}'_j = \mathcal{B}'\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(j-2)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\big) \ \ (j=1,2,\dots), \quad (3.82)$$

$$\mathrm{B}: \ \hat{D}_{2l-1} = \mathcal{F}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\ \hat{D}_{2l} = \mathcal{B}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\ \hat{D}'_{2l-1} = \mathcal{B}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\ \hat{D}'_{2l} = \mathcal{F}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \ \ (l=1,2,\dots), \quad (3.83)$$

which correspond to the cases A and B in [42].

case A:

Firstly we consider case A (3.82). The functions $q_j(x)$, $r_j(x)$, $q'_j(x)$, $r'_j(x)$ and $D_j(x)$ are

$$q_j(x) = i\varphi(x)^{-1}, \quad r_j(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1},$$

$$q'_j(x) = -iV\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\quad r'_j(x) = iV^*\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),$$
$$D_j(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1}\check{S}\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})+(j-2)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr). \tag{3.84}$$

The shape invariance properties (3.78)–(3.79) give ($k=0,1,\ldots$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overleftarrow{\prod_{l=1}^{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} f'_{\mathrm{v}-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-k}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+k\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\overleftarrow{\prod_{l=1}^{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+k\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{k+1}\overleftarrow{\prod_{l=1}^{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = b'_{\mathrm{v}-k}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{k-1} f'_{\mathrm{v}-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}+1-k}\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr),\\
&\hat{D}'_{k+1}\overleftarrow{\prod_{l=1}^{k}}\hat{D}_l\cdot(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{b}'_n\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot(\nu\check{P}_n)\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(k-1)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.85}$$

We rewrite (3.80)–(3.81) in the following way. (i) By using (3.54)–(3.55), rewrite the Casoratians in (3.80)–(3.81) as determinants $\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M'}$ ($M'=M$ for $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $M'=M+1$ for $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}$). (ii) Rewrite each matrix element $a_{j,k}$ by (3.85). For $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}$, we do the following (iii)–(v). (iii) Divide the $(M+1)$-th column of $\det(a_{j,k})$ by $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})$, and multiply the determinant by $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})$. (iv) Rewrite $\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')/\nu(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+M\boldsymbol{\delta})$ in the $(M+1)$-th column of $\det(a_{j,k})$ by (3.26). (v) Multiply the $(M+1)$-th column of $\det(a_{j,k})$ by $\prod_{m=1}^{M}\check{U}\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)$, and divide the determinant by $\prod_{m=1}^{M}\check{U}\bigl(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)$. Then $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and $\varphi(x')$. Straightforward calculation shows that the factors $\varphi(x')$ are canceled out. Thus $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\eta(x)$, namely $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed without explicit x-dependence. Their final forms are as follows. The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{6}(M-1)M(M+1)}\Biggl(\prod_{m=1}^{[\frac{M}{2}]} S\bigl(\eta;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\Biggr)^{-1}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}, \tag{3.86}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{j,k} = &\prod_{m=0}^{M-2j} f'_{d_k-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\xi_{d_k-M-1+2j}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M+1-2j)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\\
&(j=1,\ldots,[\tfrac{M+1}{2}];k=1,\ldots,M),
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_{j,k} = b'_{d_k-2j+M+2}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-3)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{2j-M-3} f'_{d_k-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \tag{3.87}$$
$$\times\,\xi_{d_k-2j+M+3}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-3)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\quad (j=[\tfrac{M+3}{2}],\dots,M;k=1,\dots,M).$$

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{6}M(M+1)(M+2)}\Biggl(\prod_{m=1}^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]} S\bigl(\eta;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\Biggr)^{-1}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}, \tag{3.88}$$

where $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{j,k} &= \prod_{m=0}^{M+1-2j} f'_{d_k-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\xi_{d_k-M-2+2j}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M+2-2j)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\\
&\quad (j=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+2}{2}];k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,k} &= b'_{d_k-2j+M+3}\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{2j-M-4} f'_{d_k-m}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\\
&\quad\times\xi_{d_k-2j+M+4}\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\quad (j=[\tfrac{M+4}{2}],\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,M+1} &= \prod_{m=0}^{M+1-2j}\tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\prod_{l=M+2-j}^{M} U\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\cdot P_n\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M+2-2j)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\\
&\quad (j=1,\dots,[\tfrac{M+2}{2}]),\\
a_{j,M+1} &= \tilde{b}'_n\bigl(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\prod_{m=0}^{2j-M-4}\tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+m\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\cdot\prod_{l=j-1}^{M} U\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\\
&\quad\times P_n\bigl(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(2j-M-4)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\bigr)\quad (j=[\tfrac{M+4}{2}],\dots,M+1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.89}$$

case B:

Secondly we consider case B (3.83). The functions $q_j(x)$, $r_j(x)$, $q'_j(x)$, $r'_j(x)$ and $D_j(x)$ are $(l=1,2,\dots)$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{2l-1}(x) &= i\varphi(x)^{-1},\quad r_{2l-1}(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1},\\
q_{2l}(x) &= -iV\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\bigr)\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\quad r_{2l}(x) = iV^*\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\bigr)\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\\
q'_{2l-1}(x) &= -iV\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\varphi(x-i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\quad r'_{2l-1} = iV^*\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr)\varphi(x+i\tfrac{\gamma}{2}),\\
q'_{2l}(x) &= i\varphi(x)^{-1},\quad r'_{2l}(x) = -i\varphi(x)^{-1},\\
D_{2l-1}(x) &= -i\varphi(x)^{-1}\check{S}\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\boldsymbol{\delta}\bigr),\quad D_{2l}(x) = i\varphi(x)^{-1}\check{S}\bigl(x;\mathfrak{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\bigr).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

The shape invariance properties (3.78)–(3.79) give $(l=1,2,\dots)$

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}}\hat{D}_m\cdot\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}),\\
&\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l-1}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = b'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}+1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot \check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f'_{\mathrm{v}-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})f'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}'_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}-2}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l-1}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-2}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{b}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}),\\
&\hat{D}'_{2l}\prod_{m=1}^{\overleftarrow{2l-1}} \hat{D}_m \cdot (\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{l-1}(\nu\check{P}_n)(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.91}
$$

Like case A, we rewrite (3.80)–(3.81) by the steps (i)–(v). In (v), multiply and divide by $\prod_{l=1}^{[\frac{M+2}{2}]}\check{U}\big(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2l)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)$. By using (3.14) and (3.69), $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\check{\xi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{P}_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{U}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, $\check{S}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and $\varphi(x')$. Straightforward calculation shows that the factors $\varphi(x')$ are canceled out. Thus $\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed in terms of $\eta(x)$, namely $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are expressed without explicit x-dependence. Their final forms are as follows. The denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= (-1)^{[\frac{M}{2}]}\big(\alpha^{\mathrm{t}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\alpha^{\bar{\mathrm{t}}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\kappa\big)^{\frac{1}{2}[\frac{M-2}{2}][\frac{M}{2}]}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M}\\
&\quad\times\Bigg(\prod_{m=[\frac{M+3}{2}]}^{M} U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M-m}\cdot\prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-2}{2}]} U^{\bar{\mathrm{t}}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-1-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{m}\\
&\qquad\times S\big(\eta;\mathrm{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\tfrac{1}{2}(1+(-1)^M)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{[\frac{M}{2}]}\Bigg)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.92}
$$

where t is the type of the indices of $\mathcal{D}$ and $a_{j,k}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j,k} &= \mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+1}{2}-j}\xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+1}{2};k=1,\dots,M),\\
a_{j,k} &= f'_{d_k-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})f'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+3}{2}}\xi_{d_k-2}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+3}{2},\dots,M;k=1,\dots,M),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.93}
$$

for odd M and

$$
a_{j,k} = f'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M}{2}-j}\xi_{d_k-1}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M}{2};k=1,\dots,M),
$$

$$a_{j,k}=b'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+2}{2}}\xi_{d_k+1}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+2}{2},\dots,M;k=1,\dots,M),\tag{3.94}$$

for even M. The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomial $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})&=(-1)^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]}\big(\alpha^{\mathrm{t}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\alpha^{\bar{\mathrm{t}}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{-1}\kappa\big)^{\frac{1}{2}[\frac{M-1}{2}][\frac{M+1}{2}]}\det(a_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le M+1}\\&\quad\times\Bigg(\prod_{m=[\frac{M+4}{2}]}^{M}U\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{M-m}\cdot\prod_{m=0}^{[\frac{M-1}{2}]}U^{\bar{\mathrm{t}}}\big(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+(M-2m)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{m}\\&\qquad\times S\big(\eta;\mathrm{t}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})-\tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^M)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big)^{[\frac{M+1}{2}]}\Bigg)^{-1},\end{aligned}\tag{3.95}$$

where t is the type of the indices of $\mathcal{D}$ and $a_{j,k}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}a_{j,k}&=\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+2}{2}-j}\xi_{d_k}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+2}{2};k=1,\dots,M),\\a_{j,k}&=f'_{d_k-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})f'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+4}{2}}\xi_{d_k-2}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\\&\qquad(j=\tfrac{M+4}{2},\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\a_{j,M+1}&=\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+2}{2}-j}U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-2\boldsymbol{\delta})P_n(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+2}{2}),\\a_{j,M+1}&=\tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+4}{2}}P_n(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+2\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+4}{2},\dots,M+1),\end{aligned}\tag{3.96}$$

for even M and

$$\begin{aligned}a_{j,k}&=f'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+1}{2}-j}\xi_{d_k-1}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+1}{2};k=1,\dots,M),\\a_{j,k}&=b'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\mathcal{E}'_{d_k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+3}{2}}\xi_{d_k+1}(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+3}{2},\dots,M+1;k=1,\dots,M),\\a_{j,M+1}&=\tilde{f}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\frac{M+1}{2}-j}P_n(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=1,\dots,\tfrac{M+1}{2}),\\a_{j,M+1}&=\tilde{b}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{j-\frac{M+3}{2}}U(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\boldsymbol{\delta})P_n(\eta;\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\delta}})\ \ (j=\tfrac{M+3}{2},\dots,M+1),\end{aligned}\tag{3.97}$$

for odd M.

4 Summary and Comments

The multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials (the Meixner, little q-Laguerre, little q-Jacobi, Racah, q-Racah, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types) introduced in the framework of the discrete quantum mechanics are expressed in terms of Casoratians. Various new determinant expressions of the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials are derived by using the properties of the Casoratians and shape invariance. Two typical cases are presented explicitly. For the Meixner, little q-Laguerre, little q-Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson cases, the new expressions for the multi-indexed polynomials $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$ do not have explicit dependence on x, which

is the coordinate of the quantum system. We hope that these new expressions are helpful for deeper understanding of the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials.

In [42], simplified expressions of the multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials are derived. From the viewpoint adopted in this paper, the calculation in [42] is regarded as follows. The eigenfunctions of the deformed systems, namely the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials are expressed in terms of the Wronskian. The Wronskian of a set of n functions $\{f_j(x)\}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{W}[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n](x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det\Big(\frac{d^{j-1} f_k(x)}{dx^{j-1}}\Big)_{1\le j,k\le n}, \tag{4.1}$$

(for $n = 0$, we set $\mathrm{W}[\cdot](x) = 1$), and the following formula holds for any smooth functions $q_j(x)$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$:

$$\det\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{\overleftarrow{j-1}} \hat{D}_l \cdot f_k(x)\Big)_{1\le j,k\le n} = \mathrm{W}[f_1, \ldots, f_n](x), \quad \hat{D}_j = \frac{d}{dx} - q_j(x). \tag{4.2}$$

The original systems have shape invariance $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger = \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})^\dagger\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) + \mathcal{E}_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, where $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{d}{dx}\log\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger = -\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{d}{dx}\log\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. These operators act on the eigenstates and virtual states as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \quad \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger\phi_{n-1}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\phi_n(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}), \qquad \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) = \tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{v}}(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$f_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -2 & : \mathrm{L} \\ -2(n+g+h) & : \mathrm{J} \end{cases}, \qquad b_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -2n : \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{J} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} 2 & : \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{I} \\ -2(g-\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{II} \\ 2(h-\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{I} \\ -2(g-\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{II} \end{cases}, \qquad \tilde{b}_{\mathrm{v}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} -2(g+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{I} \\ 2 & : \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{II} \\ -2(g+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{I} \\ 2(h+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{v}) & : \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{II} \end{cases}. \tag{4.6}$$

By taking $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$ as $\hat{D}_j$ in (4.2) and using shape invariant properties (4.3)–(4.4), the Wronskians can be rewritten in various ways. Typical two cases are studied in [42]:

$$\mathrm{A}: \ \hat{D}_j = \mathcal{A}\big(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + (j-1)\boldsymbol{\delta}\big), \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots), \tag{4.7}$$

$$\mathrm{B}: \ \hat{D}_{2l-1} = \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \ \hat{D}_{2l} = -\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\dagger, \quad (l = 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{4.8}$$

Like § 3.5.4, the equivalence property (3.76) holds [48, 49] and simplifications occur for the index set with a single type. This calculation is left to readers as an exercise.

Acknowledgments

I thank R. Sasaki for discussion and reading of the manuscript. I am supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), No.25400395.

References

[1] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "An extension of Bochner's problem: exceptional invariant subspaces," J. Approx. Theory **162** (2010) 987-1006, arXiv:0805.3376[math-ph].

[2] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "An extended class of orthogonal polynomials defined by a Sturm-Liouville problem," J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359** (2009) 352-367, arXiv:0807.3939[math-ph].

[3] C. Quesne, "Exceptional orthogonal polynomials, exactly solvable potentials and supersymmetry," J. Phys. **A41** (2008) 392001 (6pp), arXiv:0807.4087[quant-ph].

[4] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and new orthogonal polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B679** (2009) 414-417, arXiv:0906.0142[math-ph].

[5] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Another set of infinitely many exceptional (X_ℓ) Laguerre polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B684** (2010) 173-176, arXiv:0911.3442[math-ph].

[6] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "On orthogonal polynomials spanning a non-standard flag," Contemp. Math. **563** (2011) 51-72, arXiv:1101.5584[math-ph].

[7] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, "Two-step Darboux transformations and exceptional Laguerre polynomials," J. Math. Anal. Appl. **387** (2012) 410-418, arXiv:1103.5724[math-ph].

[8] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exactly solvable quantum mechanics and infinite families of multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B702** (2011) 164-170, arXiv:1105.0508[math-ph].

[9] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Infinitely many shape invariant discrete quantum mechanical systems and new exceptional orthogonal polynomials related to the Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials," Phys. Lett. **B682** (2009) 130-136, arXiv:0909.3668[math-ph].

[10] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Multi-indexed Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials," J. Phys. **A46** (2013) 045204 (22pp), arXiv:1207.5584[math-ph].

[11] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exceptional (X_ℓ) (q)-Racah polynomials," Prog. Theor. Phys. **125** (2011) 851-870, arXiv:1102.0812[math-ph].

[12] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Multi-indexed (q-)Racah polynomials," J. Phys. **A 45** (2012) 385201 (21pp), arXiv:1203.5868[math-ph].

[13] A. J. Durán, "Exceptional Meixner and Laguerre orthogonal polynomials," J. Approx. Theory **184** (2014) 176-208, arXiv:1310.4658[math.CA].

[14] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Multi-indexed Meixner and Little q-Jacobi (Laguerre) Polynomials," J. Phys. **A50** (2017) 165204 (23pp), arXiv:1610.09854[math.CA].

[15] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1939).

[16] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1978).

[17] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, vol. 98 of Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2005).

[18] R. Sasaki, S. Tsujimoto and A. Zhedanov, "Exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and the corresponding potentials through Darboux-Crum transformations," J. Phys. **A43** (2010) 315204, arXiv:1004.4711[math-ph].

[19] S. Odake, "Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials," J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 083506 (18pp), arXiv:1303.5820[math-ph].

[20] S. Odake, "Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials : II," J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015) 053506 (18pp), arXiv:1410.8236[math-ph].

[21] S. Odake, "Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials : III," J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016) 023514 (24pp), arXiv:1509.08213[math-ph].

[22] S. Odake, "Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials IV : closure relations and creation/annihilation operators," J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016) 113503 (22pp), arXiv:1606.02836[math-ph].

[23] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati and R. Milson, "Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials," J. Phys. **A47** (2014) 015203 (27pp), arXiv:1306.5143[math-ph].

[24] A. J. Durán, "Higher order recurrence relation for exceptional Charlier, Meixner, Hermite and Laguerre orthogonal polynomials," Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **26** (2015) 357-376, arXiv:1409.4697[math.CA].

[25] H. Miki and S. Tsujimoto, "A new recurrence formula for generic exceptional orthogonal polynomials," J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015) 033502 (13pp), arXiv:1410.0183[math.CA].

[26] D. Gómez-Ullate, A. Kasman, A. B. J. Kuijlaars and R. Milson, "Recurrence Relations for Exceptional Hermite Polynomials," J. Approx. Theory **204** (2016) 1-16, arXiv:1506.03651[math.CA].

[27] E. Routh, "On some properties of certain solutions of a differential equation of the second order," Proc. London Math. Soc. **16** (1884) 245-261.

[28] S. Bochner, "Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme," Math. Zeit. **29** (1929) 730-736.

[29] G. Darboux, *Théorie générale des surfaces* vol 2 (1888) Gauthier-Villars, Paris.

[30] M. M. Crum, "Associated Sturm-Liouville systems," Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **6** (1955) 121-127, arXiv:physics/9908019.

[31] M. G. Krein, "On continuous analogue of a formula of Christoffel from the theory of orthogonal polynomials," (Russian) Doklady Acad. Nauk. CCCP, **113** (1957) 970-973.

[32] V. É. Adler, "A modification of Crum's method," Theor. Math. Phys. **101** (1994) 1381-1386.

[33] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Crum's theorem for 'discrete' quantum mechanics," Prog. Theor. Phys. **122** (2009) 1067-1079, arXiv:0902.2593[math-ph].

[34] L. García-Gutiérrez, S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Modification of Crum's theorem for 'discrete' quantum mechanics," Prog. Theor. Phys. **124** (2010) 1-26, arXiv:1004.0289 [math-ph].

[35] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Dual Christoffel transformations," Prog. Theor. Phys. **126** (2011) 1-34, arXiv:1101.5468[math-ph].

[36] C. Quesne, "Novel enlarged shape invariance property and exactly solvable rational extensions of the Rosen-Morse II and Eckart potentials," SIGMA **8** (2012) 080 (19pp), arXiv:1208.6165[math-ph].

[37] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Krein-Adler transformations for shape-invariant potentials and pseudo virtual states," J. Phys. **A46** (2013) 245201 (24pp), arXiv:1212.6595[math-ph].

[38] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Extensions of solvable potentials with finitely many discrete eigenstates," J. Phys. **A46** (2013) 235205 (15pp), arXiv:1301.3980[math-ph].

[39] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Casoratian Identities for the Wilson and Askey-Wilson Polynomials," J. Approx. Theory **193** (2015) 184-209, arXiv:1308.4240[math-ph].

[40] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Unified theory of annihilation-creation operators for solvable ('discrete') quantum mechanics," J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006) 102102 (33pp), arXiv:quant-ph/0605215.

[41] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exact solution in the Heisenberg picture and annihilation-creation operators," Phys. Lett. **B641** (2006) 112-117, arXiv:quant-ph/0605221.

[42] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Simplified Expressions of the Multi-indexed Laguerre and Jacobi Polynomials," SIGMA **13** (2017) 020 (10pp), arXiv:1612.00927[math.CA].

[43] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Orthogonal Polynomials from Hermitian Matrices," J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008) 053503 (43pp), arXiv:0712.4106[math.CA].

[44] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Orthogonal Polynomials from Hermitian Matrices II," arXiv:1604.00714[math.CA].

[45] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky and R. F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues,* Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg (2010).

[46] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Exactly solvable 'discrete' quantum mechanics; shape invariance, Heisenberg solutions, annihilation-creation operators and coherent states," Prog. Theor. Phys. **119** (2008) 663-700. arXiv:0802.1075[quant-ph].

[47] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, "Discrete quantum mechanics," (Topical Review) J. Phys. **A44** (2011) 353001 (47pp), arXiv:1104.0473[math-ph].

[48] S. Odake, "Equivalences of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials," J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 013502 (17pp), arXiv:1309.2346[math-ph].

[49] K. Takemura, "Multi-indexed Jacobi polynomials and Maya diagrams," J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 113501 (10pp), arXiv:1311.3570[math-ph].